



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/542,714	04/04/2000	ALLAN HAVEMOSE	AMI 99 0006	5268
32718	7590 08/12/2003	•		
GATEWAY, INC. 14303 GATEWAY PLACE ATTENTION: MARK S. WALKER (MAIL DROP SD-21) POWAY, CA 92064		EXAMINER		
		VU, TUAN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	8
		DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•
Adviso	- Astin-
AUVISO	ry Action
	.,

	Application N	Applicant(s)		
	09/542,714	HAVEMOSE, ALLAN		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
I	Tuan A Vu	2124		

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) X The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee unde 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth i (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying t issues for appeal; and/or	he
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	nt
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	!
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapple of by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	
Primary Examiner	
Group 2100	
Otonk	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, the arguments about using two references to address claims 13-17, 35 are moot, since one art can read on the claims. Second, about the dynamic object of claims 1, 18, the rationale for combining still stands as motivated & suggested in the rejection. Third, about tagging and load compiled code, (e.g. claims 1-12, 14, 18) the cited parts show loading of bytecode for JIT execution and there is more than one ways of tagging than what is regarded by Applicants as the acceptable way thereof. Fourth, arguments about claims 2, 24, 25, 44 are not convincing. Fifth, as for byte-code validation argument, as long as there is checking for operation correctness on a system, there is validation as claimed. Finally, if some arguments have some valid points, further search and reconsideration are needed.

/Todd Ingherg Primary Examiner

**Group 2100**